#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

# Translation INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference T10P2EP/PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
International application No.	International filing date (day/month)	year) Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/EP2004/008269	23.07.2004	24.07.2003				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or nation	onal classification and IPC					
C11B1/00, C11C5/00, C	C09K5/06					
Applicant						
TISCHENDORF, Dieter						
	<ol> <li>This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</li> </ol>					
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	5 sheet	s, including this cover sheet.				
3. This report is also accompanied by A	NNEXES, comprising:					
a. (sent to the applicant and	to the International Bureau) a total o	f sheets, as follows:				
		ave been amended and are the basis for this report and/or				
sheets containing red Instructions).	ctifications authorized by this Author .	ity (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative				
		thority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond				
the disclosure in the Box.	e international application as filed, a	s indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental				
b. (sent to the International	Bureau only) a total of (indicate type	and number of electronic carrier(s))				
	, containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see					
Section 802 of the Administ	Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).					
4. This report contains indications relati	ng to the following items:					
Box No. I Basis of the	report					
Box No. II Priority						
Box No. III Non-establi	shment of opinion with regard to nov	elty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
Box No. IV Lack of uni	ty of invention					
DOX 110. V						
Box No. VI Certain doc	Box No. VI Certain documents cited					
Box No. VII Certain def						
l —						
Date of submission of the demand  Date of completion of this report						
Date of submission of the demand	Date of conq	icitor or and report				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized o	fficer				
and all all all all all all all all all al						
Facsimile No.	Telephone N	0.				

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/008269

Box	No. I		Basis of the report			
1.			to the language, this report is based on the internation der this item.	nal application in the language in which i	t was filed, unless otherwise	
		which	eport is based on translations from the original language is the language of a translation furnished for the purpointernational search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)) publication of the international application (Rule 12.4) international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/o	oses of:	·	
2.	rece	With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):  the international application as originally filed/furnished the description:				
		pages	1-12		as originally filed/furnished	
		pages	*	received by this Authority on		
		pages	*	received by this Authority on		
	$\boxtimes$	the cla	aims:			
		nos.	1-22		as originally filed/furnished	
		nos.*		as amended (together with a	any statement) under Article 19	
		nos.*		received by this Authority on	. — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	
		nos.*		received by this Authority on		
		the dr	awings:			
		sheets	3		as originally filed/furnished	
		sheets	*	received by this Authority on		
		sheets	*	received by this Authority on		
		a sequ	uence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplem	ental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.		
3.		The a	mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:			
		П	the description, pages			
		$\overline{\Box}$	the claims, nos.			
		$\sqcap$	the drawings, sheets/figs			
		一	the sequence listing (specify):		-	
		百				
4.			report has been established as if (some of) the amend have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as fi	ments annexed to this report and listed	below had not been made, since	
			the description, pages			
		the claims, nos.  the drawings, sheets/figs				
			the sequence listing (specify):			
		$\overline{\sqcap}$	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):			
*	If it	 ет 4 ар	oplies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "sup			

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/008269

Box	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1.	Statement					
[	Novelty	(N) Claims 1-22	YES			
		Claims				
	Inventive	re step (IS) Claims	YES			
		Claims 1-22				
	Indoord-:					
	MOUSIFIE	al applicability (IA) Claims 1-22 Claims	NO			
2.	2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)					
	<ol> <li>This report makes reference to the following</li> </ol>					
	documents:					
	D1:	EP-A-1228701				
	D2:	WO-A-9605278				
	2. Novelty (PCT Article 33(2))					
	2.1 The subject matter of claims 1-22 appears to be					
	novel.					
	3. Inventive step (PCT Article 33(3))					
		The present application does not meet the				
		requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the				
		subject matter of claims 1-22 does not involve an	1			
		inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).				
Į						
	3.2	Document D1 is considered to constitute the prior	:			
		art closest to the subject matter of claim 1 and				
		discloses (cf. claim 1) a process for extracting				
		waxes, for example, from natural raw materials,				
		comprising the following steps: (1) the starting				

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/008269

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

product is ground, (2) the lipophilic substances are extracted by means of an extraction agent before or after water is added, and (3) the mush is separated by centrifugation into an aqueous phase which contains solid components, and into a liquid organic phase.

The subject matter of claim 1 therefore differs from the known process in that the isolated lipophilic substances are esterified, refined and/or hydrogenated.

Waxes are well known, natural raw materials in candle manufacture and as thermal storage materials; their esterification, refinement and hydrogenation are well known further processing steps. Consequently, a person skilled in the art would consider the inclusion of this feature in the process described in D1 a conventional measure for solving the stated problem.

- 3.3 D2 also discloses (cf. claim 1) a process for extracting waxes, for example, from natural raw materials, in which the starting product is ground and transformed into an aqueous mush, then separated by centrifugation into an aqueous phase which contains solid components, and into a liquid organic phase.
- 3.4 The subject matter of claim 1 therefore does not involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)), and for this reason the subject matter of claims 21

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/008269

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

and 22 also fails to involve an inventive step.

3.5 Dependent claims 2-20 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the PCT inventive step requirements.

Dependent claims 2-20 relate to minor structural modifications of the process as per claim 1 of the kind that a person skilled in the art routinely makes on the basis of familiar considerations, especially since the resulting advantages are readily foreseeable. Consequently, the subject matter of claims 2-20 also fails to involve an inventive step.